

**THE STATES assembled on Tuesday,
31st July 2001 at 9.00 a.m. under
the Presidency of the Bailiff,
Sir Philip Bailhache.**

**His Excellency the Lieutenant Governor,
Air Chief Marshal Sir John Cheshire, K.B.E., C.B.,
was present**

All members were present with the exception of -

Senator Frank Harrison Walker - out of the Island
Francis Gerald Voisin, Deputy of St. Lawrence- out of the Island

Prayers

Subordinate legislation tabled

The following enactments were laid before the States, namely -

Diseases of Animals (Foot and Mouth - Restrictions No. 8C (Revocation)) (Jersey) Order 2001. R & C 113/2001.

Human Resources Committee - appointment of President

There were nominated for appointment of President of the Human Resources Committee -

Deputy Robin Charles Hacquoil of St. Peter- proposed by Senator Christopher Gerard Pellow Lakeman
Deputy Jeremy Laurence Dorey of St. Helier- proposed by the Deputy of Trinity

THE STATES having proceeded to a secret ballot, the Bailiff then declared the results -

Deputy Dorey - 25 votes
Deputy Hacquoil - 24 votes

The Bailiff accordingly declared that Deputy Dorey had been elected as President of the Human Resources Committee.

House Committee - appointment of member

THE STATES appointed Deputy Alan Breckon of St. Saviour as a member of the House Committee.

Matters presented

The following matters were presented to the States -

Bus Strategy.(P.104/2001): comments - P.104/2001 Com.
Presented by the Finance and Economics Committee.

Trinity House, Bath Street, St. Helier: lease of office accommodation for the Employment Tribunal (P.106/2001): comments - P.106/2001 Com.

Presented by the Finance and Economics Committee.

Financial assistance for the cost of ophthalmic and dental care for persons aged over 65: revisions to proposed scheme (P.107/2001): comments - P.107/2001 Com. (reissue).

Presented by the Finance and Economics Committee.

Note: These comments replace those presented on 17th July 2001.

Draft Standard Chartered Bank (CI) Limited (Jersey) Law 200- (P.111/2001): report - P.111/2001 Rpt.

Presented by the Finance and Economics Committee.

Elizabeth Terminal: alteration and refurbishment (P.118/2001): comments - P.118/2001 Com.

Presented by the Finance and Economics Committee.

States of Jersey Law 1966, as amended: delegation of functions - gambling - licensing provisions - R.C.28/2001.

Presented by the Gambling Control Committee.

THE STATES ordered that the said reports be printed and distributed.

Matters noted - acceptance of tender

THE STATES noted an Act of the Finance and Economics Committee dated 23rd July 2001, showing that, in pursuance of Rule 5 of the Public Finances (General) (Jersey) Rules 1967, as amended, the Committee had noted that -

1. The Public Services Committee had accepted the lowest priced tender for the foul sewer extension at Le Parcq de l'Oeillère, St. Brelade, namely that submitted by P. Trant (Jersey) Limited in the sum of £384,463.24.
2. The Education Committee had accepted the lowest priced tender for the joint use sports facility on the Langford Site, Mont Millais, St. Helier, namely that submitted by Charles Le Quesne (1956) Limited in the sum of £5,285,343.33.

Matters lodged

The following matter was lodged "au Greffe" -

Jersey Child Care Trust: Five Year Strategy (2002-2006) and the provision of funding - P.121/2001.

Presented by the Education Committee.

Arrangement of public business for the next meeting on 11th September 2001 -

THE STATES confirmed that the following matters lodged "au Greffe" would be considered at the next meeting on 11th September 2001 -

Projet de Loi (200-) (Amendement No. 9) réglant la procédure criminelle. P.37/2001.

Logé au Greffe le 6 mars 2001.

Député G.C.L. Baudains de St. Clément.

Projet de Loi (200-) (Amendement No. 9) réglant la procédure criminelle (P.37/2001): rapport - P.37/2001 Rpt.

Présenté le 1er mai 2001.

Comité de Législation.

Projet de Loi (200-) (Amendement No. 9) réglant la procédure criminelle (P.37/2001): rapport- addendum - P.37/2001 Rpt.(2).

Présenté le 3 juillet 2001.

Comité de Législation.

Projet d'Acte (2001) mettant en vigueur la Loi (1995) (Abrogation) sur les Etrangers - P.113/2001.

Logé: le 17 juillet 2001.

Comité des Affaires Intérieures.

Draft Immigration (Designated Ports of Entry and Exit) (Jersey) Act 200- P.114/2001.

Lodged: 17th July 2001.

Home Affairs Committee.

Jersey Child Care Trust: five year strategy (2002-2006) and the provision of funding P.97/2001.

THE STATES granted leave to the President of the Education Committee to withdraw the report and proposition regarding Jersey Child Care Trust: five year strategy (2002-2006) and the provision of funding (P.97/2001), a revised report and proposition having been lodged "au Greffe" at the present meeting.

Finance and Economics Committee consultation document 'The Future of our Tax and Public Spending Policies' - questions and answers (Tape No. 667)

Senator Stuart Syvret asked the Deputy of St. Mary, Vice-President of the Finance and Economics Committee, the following questions -

- “1. Will the President provide for the Assembly a detailed explanation of the differences between Gross Domestic Product and Gross National Product in the context of Jersey, and as used in the Finance and Economics Committee publication 'The Future of our Tax & Public Spending Policies (the Consultation Paper)'?”
2. Reference is made in the Consultation Paper to the Island's Gross National Product and its annual percentage change. Will the President provide for the Assembly a detailed description of the methodology used to arrive at these figures?
3. In paragraph 4 of page 5 of the Consultation Paper it is stated that "Public spending averaged £4,200 per head of the Island population in 1999, compared with a figure of £5,700 in the United Kingdom". Will the President provide for the Assembly a re-rendering of this comparison based upon **purchasing power parity** and will he include for comparative purposes the same calculation for each EU member state and the EU average?"

The Vice-President of the Finance and Economics Committee replied as follows -

- “1. **Gross Domestic Product** is the estimated annual value of all goods and services produced in the Island economy. There are three separate ways of estimating this figure in any one year:
 - (1) estimate the value-added of all businesses in the Island (to estimate Island "output");
 - (2) add up all domestically-generated income (to measure Island "incomes");
 - (3) add up all final consumption and capital investment in Jersey (to estimate Island "spending").

These three ways of looking at Island Gross Domestic Product should all produce a similar figure. The final figure for Island Gross Domestic Product is derived by reconciling these three estimates.

The data for these estimates are collected from a variety of surveys and other statistical material.

Gross National Product is derived from Island Gross Domestic Product. It represents Island Gross Domestic Product adjusted for income earned abroad by Island residents and income earned by those living outside the Island from money which they have invested in Jersey.

The Island economy is dominated by the financial services industry. Foreigners are attracted to invest money in Jersey by low tax rates, the high quality of financial services, political and economic stability and high standards of financial regulation.

Flows of income from the Island to foreigners are estimated to have been much larger than flows of income from abroad to Island residents.

2. Reference is made in the Consultation Paper to the Island's GNP and its annual percentage change. Will the President provide for the Assembly a detailed description of the methodology used to arrive at these figures?
3. The estimate for public spending per head in the Consultation Paper were presented in sterling, which is the common currency of the two jurisdictions. Estimates which compare levels of spending per head in the Island and the United Kingdom after adjustment for differences in the general price level in the two jurisdictions are not available.

We have been unable to find comparisons of public spending per head in terms of Purchasing Power Parity across the 15 Member States of the EU, in any published material by organisations such as the OECD and EU.

However, estimates have been constructed in Policy and Resources Department of spending on general government outlays per head by EU Member States using various pieces of OECD data for 1999. These have also been converted to Purchasing Power Parity in dollar terms.

Members should note that the OECD definition of "general government outlays" is not directly comparable to the definition of public spending in the United Kingdom National Accounts. The United Kingdom National Accounts were the source of the estimate for the United Kingdom in the Consultation Paper on tax and spending.

Copies of a table setting out the data on the EU Member States will be made available to States Members on request."

Preparation of a States Jersey Statistical Digest - question and answer (Tape No. 667)

Senator Stuart Syvret asked Senator Pierre François Horsfall, President of Policy and Resources Committee, the following question -

"Will the President inform the Assembly when a States of Jersey Statistical Digest was last produced and why production of the Statistical Digest has not taken place recently? Will he undertake to ensure that its production is resumed at the earliest opportunity?"

The President of the Policy and Resources Committee replied as follows -

"A full version of what was called the Statistical Review was last produced in 1997. An update of the tables in that document for 1997-1999 was published in early 2000.

It has been our intention to resume publication of an annual review but this has not so far proved possible as available resources in the Department's Statistical Unit have been concentrated on the highest priority work

of maintaining the main statistical series on prices, earnings and manpower. The Head of Statistics in his role as Census Officer has also been heavily engaged on that essential work over the last eighteen months. My Committee agreed some time ago that the Statistics Unit should be strengthened but it has proved extremely difficult to find anyone with the necessary skills. After considerable difficulty, however, the vacant statistician post will be filled at the end of August.

I hope we shall be able to resume publication shortly of an appropriate annual digest.”

The White House, Rozel Bay - question and answer (Tape No. 667)

Senator Paul Vincent Francis Le Claire asked Senator Terence Augustine Le Sueur, President of the Employment and Social Security Committee, the following question -

- “(a) When a recent landslide occurred into ‘The White House’ at Rozel Bay did the officers of the Employment and Social Security Department investigate on the grounds of Health and Safety?
- (b) If so, what were the findings and if not what were the reasons for not having done so?”

The President of the Employment and Social Security Committee replied as follows -

“Health and Safety Inspectors did not investigate the landslide incident which occurred recently at Rozel Bay.

Health and Safety Inspectors are appointed by the Employment and Social Security Committee under Article 12 of the Health and Safety at Work (Jersey) Law 1989. That Law defines and regulates the activities of such Inspectors.

As its title makes clear, the law was introduced to ensure the health, safety and welfare of persons at work or engaged in an undertaking and also to ensure the health and safety of persons who may be affected by the activities of persons at work. The Inspectorate understands that the incident referred to did not occur as a result of such an activity or undertaking, and consequently falls outside the remit of this Law.”

The Sale of units on the Woodville site - question and answer (Tape No. 667)

Deputy Philip Francis Cyril Ozouf of St. Helier asked Deputy Terence John Le Main of St. Helier, President of the Housing Committee, the following question -

- “Would the President provide an update to the Assembly on the timing of the sales of the first-time-buyers units at Woodville -
- (a) when will the units be completed and ready for occupation?
 - (b) when will the Housing Committee ask the States to approve the sale of the Units?”

The President of the Housing Committee replied as follows -

- “(a) The contract date for completion is 31st October 2001. Progress on the site has been good, and, as the States was recently advised in answer to a similar question, it is anticipated that practical completion could be achieved by early September 2001.
- (b) The Committee will shortly be lodging a Report and Proposition seeking formal authorisation from the States for the sale of these units by way of flying freehold to first-time buyers. The Committee will be asking the States to debate the Proposition on 11th September 2001.”

The new Island Plan - question and answer (Tape No. 667)

Deputy Philip Francis Cyril Ozouf of St. Helier asked Senator Nigel Lewis Quérée, President of the Planning and Environment Committee, the following question -

“At the last States sitting the President revealed that no instruction had been given to W.S. Atkins on the numbers of homes to be provided in the Island Plan and that the numbers fell short of the numbers in the draft David Couttie and Associates report.

Would the President reconsider this instruction and undertake to increase the numbers to be provided?”

The President of the Planning and Environment Committee replied as follows -

“Deputy Ozouf has clearly failed to understand the answers I gave last Tuesday.

The Committee will reconsider the consultation draft only when it has received the report of the Independent Reviewer, Professor MacAuslan, on the written representations made on the Plan, and has considered those recommendations itself.

To give any suggestion of change to the Plan at this time, would pre-empt proper consideration of the views of the several hundred people and bodies that have taken the time and trouble to make representations.

I can assure the Deputy that representations have been made on the sufficiency of homes provided for in the Plan, and thus this matter will be included in our deliberations in the Autumn.”

Delay in the Committee preparing a report to the States on “Tenants and Lodgers: Return of Deposits” - statement

The President of the Housing Committee made a statement in the following terms -

“On 20th March 2001 Senator Corrie Stein lodged Projet 46 “Tenants and Lodgers: Return of Deposits” which sought States approval -

“to request the Housing Committee to investigate the feasibility of a scheme to safeguard the rental deposits paid by tenants to landlords or agents in the private sector and deposits paid by lodgers to lodging house owners or their agents, to ensure the prompt return of the monies due at the end of the period of occupation, and to report back to the States within three months”.

Although this projet has not been debated the Housing Committee has agreed to carry out further research into the matter and to prepare a report for the States.

Due to shortage of human resources the research and preparation of the Report has not been possible within the last three months. However, a consultative process with interested parties will commence shortly and I wish to reassure the States that the Report will be completed and presented before the end of the year.”

Resource Plan - Statement

The President of the Health and Social Services Committee made a statement in the following terms -

“Following our meeting to discuss the 2002 Resource Plan on Wednesday last, members will be aware that my Committee intends to bring an amendment to the proposals which the Finance and Economics Committee will put to the States in September. Whilst that amendment will be supported by a comprehensive report, I felt it important to advise the States of the very significant difficulties being faced by my Committee

in trying to respond positively to the health and social care needs and expectations of the Island.

The western world is currently facing up to substantial change in the provision of health and social care. These changes are not just about increases in demand brought on by an ageing population and advances in medical science and technology but about quality issues, which have arisen following some well publicised disasters. The public today are well informed and no longer prepared to be passive recipients of care. People's expectations have increased, they have a good idea of what might help them and require it to be provided to a high standard. I know we all support that kind of progress but clinical governance initiatives are not cheap. We have seen significant reductions in junior doctors hours, increased medical specialisation and a move towards consultant led services and, through the General Medical Council and Royal Colleges, a formalising of continuing medical education and a requirement to engage in clinical audit. All the above take doctors away from direct clinical contact with patients and require significant investment in medical manpower. Other clinical professions are following similar clinical governance pathways.

During the course of the last two Health and Social Services Committee Strategies (1993 - 1997 and 1997 - 2000) the Committee also witnessed great change in the services provided. At the request of the States, it took on responsibility for Social Services in 1996 having to develop some new services for the elderly, mentally ill, children and those with physical and learning disabilities.

The rising tide of patients arriving at the General Hospital has continued and some new services have been introduced, largely to prevent patients having to transfer to the United Kingdom. In addition, the Finance and Economics Committee has transferred the responsibility for funding a host of voluntary organisations onto Health and Social Services. Whilst I don't disagree with the logic of that shift, these organisations are resource hungry too and require increases over and above inflation and pay awards each year.

I am aware that my Committee seeking five per cent real growth in the current climate is likely to be unpopular, particularly as the Finance and Economics Committee has already offered real growth amounting to 3.1 per cent for 2002. But, it is our duty to bring these pressing needs to members' attention and they will be provided with a detailed analysis of that which can not be achieved if we have to settle for 3.1 per cent real growth. There is a perception, held by many in this Assembly, that the Health and Social Services Committee has been generously funded in the past but that is not true. I will present members with evidence that demonstrates only 1.1 per cent per annum real growth (on average) has been enjoyed by the Health and Social Services Committee during the course of our last two Strategies, in spite of this Assembly approving in principle two per cent per annum real growth. Even when one allows for comparatively generous funding from Finance and Economics of late that leaves our budget some £5,000,000 short of what the States intended. All remotely comparable western health services have grown by sums considerably in excess of these figures. The UK health service, for example, is currently enjoying real growth of 7.3 per cent per annum (average) and has done for the last three years. Some members talked of "productivity" during our meeting last Wednesday. I will demonstrate to members that the range, quantity and complexity of health and social care services has increased considerably over the period in question, with very modest increases in finance and almost no growth in manpower. That achievement has only been possible because of highly committed staff who go way beyond that which one might reasonably expect of them. However, I have to advise that the goodwill I have highlighted has been drawn on to the point that very little remains. Morale is now low as clinical staff are constantly badgered to make savings and are distracted from treating and caring for patients. The service infrastructure is inadequate to support them in addressing some of the quality and clinical governance issues and they don't like to keep patients waiting for treatment which they need now.

Jersey is fortunate in having fairly comprehensive health and social services and committed staff but the standard of our services is not keeping up with some developments in the United Kingdom, let alone our closer European neighbours. I am afraid that if we are not to lose ground action is required now.

An independent Health and Social Care Funding Review, sponsored by the Policy and Resources, Employment and Social Security, Finance and Economics and Health and Social Services Committees, is about to commence. That review will address the question of how much money we are likely to have to spend on health and social care in the short to medium term and how we can fund it. But, until these questions are answered and that will not be in time to influence the 2002 budget, we need to trust those who

provide the services locally and fund them adequately. If we don't the quality of the services we are able to offer people will suffer noticeably.

My Committee is aware that it is unusual to bring an amendment like the one we will propose but health and social care is arguably the most important service on which we spend tax payers money. The Committee and its officers have thought long and hard on the matter and I am afraid there is no escaping the need for significant investment in the service now.

The Committee will be presenting its current Strategic Plan to States Member on 3rd August at 2.30 p.m. in the Halliwell Lecture Theatre. I hope I have raised sufficient interest to encourage members to attend."

**Draft Telecommunications (Jersey) Law 200- P.103/2001
P.103/2001: comments; P.109/2001: amendment**

THE STATES commenced consideration of the Draft Telecommunications (Jersey) Law 200- and adopted the preamble.

Articles 1 to 68 and Schedules 1 and 2 were adopted, the States having accepted an amendment of Deputy Jeremy Laurence Dorey of St. Helier to Article 30(3) that the words "the Committee is" be deleted.

THE STATES, subject to the sanction of Her Most Excellent Majesty in Council, adopted a Law entitled the Telecommunications (Jersey) Law 2001.

**Draft Standard Chartered Bank (CI) Limited (Jersey) Law 200- P.111/2001
P.111/2001: report**

THE STATES, subject to the sanction of Her Most Excellent Majesty in Council, adopted a Law entitled Standard Chartered Bank (CI) Limited (Jersey) Law 2001.

D'Auvergne playing field (former J.C.G. playing field), La Pouquelaye, St. Helier,: development in the Green Zone - P.117/2001

THE STATES, adopting a proposition of the Planning and Environment Committee -

supported the intention of the Planning and Environment Committee to grant permission for the development of a two-storey building to create a 30-place nursery and a two-form entry primary school, together with ancillary areas, on the d'Auvergne Playing Fields, La Pouquelaye, St. Helier, the land being situated within an area designated as Green Zone on the Island Map, as amended 1-87.

Draft Gambling (Channel Islands Lottery) (Amendment No. 4) (Jersey) Regulations 200 P.112/2001

THE STATES, in pursuance of Article 3 of the Gambling (Jersey) Law 1964, as amended, made Regulations entitled the Gambling (Channel Islands Lottery) (Amendment No. 4) (Jersey) Regulations 2001.

**Elizabeth Terminal: alteration and refurbishment - P.118/2001
P.118/2001: comments**

THE STATES, adopting a proposition of the Harbours and Airport Committee -

- (a) approved drawings Nos. 308A/310D/312C showing internal alteration and refurbishment of the Elizabeth Terminal, Elizabeth Harbour. St. Helier;

(b) authorised the Greffier of the States to sign the said drawings on behalf of the States.

Bus Strategy - P.104/2001

Comments - P.104/2001 Com.

P.104/2001: amendment; P.104/2001: second amendments.

THE STATES commenced consideration of the Bus Strategy, and adopted the amendment of the Industries Committee that, in paragraph (b) of the proposition, there be deleted the words “of up to seven years”.

THE STATES rejected the second amendments of Deputy Jeremy Laurence Dorey of St. Helier that-

- (1) in paragraph (a), after the words “to agree that”, there be inserted the words, “in relation to bus services from autumn 2003 onwards”.
- (2) in paragraph (b), after the words “to agree that”, there be inserted the words “, beginning with the consideration of licence applications after the summer of 2003,”.
- (3) after paragraph (b), there be inserted -
“(c) to charge the Public Services Committee to negotiate with JerseyBus to ensure that in the intervening period, services provided to the customer broadly match those available in 1999-2000”.
- (4) at the end of paragraph (d), there be added the words “, including any subsidies which may be required to satisfy paragraph (c) above”.
- (5) the paragraphs be re-lettered accordingly.

Members present voted as follows -

“Pour” (13)

Senators

Le Sueur, Le Claire.

Connétables

Grouville, St. Peter.

Deputies

H. Baudains(C), Trinity, Duhamel(S), Breckon(S), St. John, G. Baudains(C), Dorey(H), Farnham(S)
Martin(H).

“Contre” (35)

Senators

Le Maistre, Stein, Quérée, Bailhache, Syvret, Norman, Kinnard, Lakeman.

Connétables

St. Helier, St. Martin, St. Ouen, Trinity, St. Saviour, St. Brelade, St. Lawrence, St. Mary, St. John.

Deputies

St. Mary, S. Baudains(H), Routier(H), Layzell(B), Grouville, Huet(H), St. Martin, Le Main(H), Crowcroft(H), Vibert(B), St. Peter, Dubras(L), St. Ouen, Troy(B), Scott Warren(S), Le Hérissier(S), Ozouf(H), Fo(H).

THE STATES, adopting a proposition of the Public Services Committee, as amended by the Industries Committee -

approved the Bus Strategy as set out in the report of the Public Services Committee dated 3rd July 2001, and, in particular -

- (a) agreed that competitive bids for the operation of the Island's bus services should be sought from operators able to demonstrate appropriate experience in operating regulated bus services based on a minimum service specification governed by a Service Level Agreement between the operator and the Committee or any other body appointed by the States to regulate and licence bus services;
- (b) agreed that a licence should be awarded to the operator which best fulfils the specification and which is prepared to work in partnership with the States to enhance the quality of bus services in the Island;
- (c) charged the Public Services Committee to prepare and present to the States for approval amendments to the Motor Traffic (Jersey) Law 1935, as amended, and any other legislation as appropriate, to give effect to the strategy and, in particular, to transfer the licensing and regulatory functions of the Committee to the Jersey Competition Regulatory Authority;
- (d) requested the Public Services Committee to investigate, and bring to the States for approval, proposals to provide for public subsidies for bus services where required in such a manner as to provide transparency and an assurance that value for money is being obtained;
- (e) requested the Public Services Committee -
 - (i) to consult with Committees of the States, parish authorities, local bus user groups and other interested organisations in order to ensure that bus services meet the needs of the travelling public; and
 - (ii) to monitor the effectiveness of bus operations, set targets for increasing bus usage, and publish regular reports on the implementation of the bus strategy.

Members present voted as follows -

“Pour” (37)

Senators

Horsfall, Le Maistre, Stein, Quérée, Bailhache, Syvret, Norman, Kinnard, Le Sueur.

Connétables

Grouville, St. Martin, St. Ouen, Trinity, St. Saviour, St. Brelade, St. Lawrence, St. John.

Deputies

H. Baudains(C), St. Mary, Routier(H), Layzell(B), Breckon(S), Grouville, Huet(H), St. Martin, Le Mai(H), Crowcroft(H), Vibert(B), St. Peter, Dubras(L), St. Ouen, Troy(B), Scott Warren(S), Farnham(S), L Hérissier(S), Ozouf(H), Fox(H).

“Contre” (6)

Senators

Le Claire.

Connétables

St. Peter.

Deputies

St. John, G. Baudains(C), Dorey(H), Martin(H).

One member abstained from voting.

Change in Presidency

The Bailiff retired from the Chair during the consideration of the Bus Strategy and the meeting continued under the Presidency of Miss Catherine Mary Newcombe, Greffier of the States.

Human Resources Committee - size of Committee

THE STATES, in accordance with Article 28(2)(b) of the States of Jersey Law 1966, and on the propositior Deputy Jeremy Laurence Dorey of St. Helier, President of the Human Resources Committee, determined that the Human Resources Committee should consist of the President and five other members of the States.

Human Resources Committee - appointment of members

Deputy Jeremy Laurence Dorey, President of the Human Resources Committee, nominated for appointment as members of the Human Resources Committee -

Senator Paul Vincent Francis Le Claire
Connétable of Grouville
Connétable of Trinity
Deputy Shirley Margaret Baudains of St. Helier
Deputy Peter Nicholas Troy of St. Brelade

The Deputy of St. John nominated Deputy Robert Charles Duhamel of St. Saviour.

THE STATES, having proceeded to a secret ballot, the President declared the following results -

Connétable of Trinity.....	41 votes
Deputy Troy.....	37 votes
Senator Le Claire.....	35 votes
Connétable of Grouville.....	35 votes
Deputy Baudains.....	33 votes
Deputy Duhamel.....	24 votes

The President declared that the following had been elected members of the Human Resources Committee -

Senator Le Claire
Connétable of Grouville
Connétable of Trinity
Deputy Baudains
Deputy Troy

THE STATES adjourned at 6.17 p.m. until 1st August 2001 to complete the business set down for consideration.

C.M. NEWCOMBE

Greffier of the States.